

REPORT FOR:

**TRAFFIC AND ROAD
SAFETY ADVISORY PANEL**

Date of Meeting:

23 November 2011

Subject:

Appointment of Advisers to the Panel
2011/12

Key Decision:

No

Responsible Officer:

Hugh Peart, Director of Legal and
Governance Services

Portfolio Holder:

Councillor Phillip O'Dell, Deputy Leader and
Portfolio Holder for Environment and
Community Safety

Exempt:

No

**Decision subject to
Call-in:**

Yes

Enclosures:

Appendix 1 – List of Nominations Received
Appendix 2 – Nominees Biographies

Section 1 – Summary and Recommendations

The Panel meeting of 20 September 2011 made a Recommendation to the Portfolio Holder for Environment and Community safety that all the transport organisations listed at Appendix 1 be written to seeking nominations for non-voting advisers to the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel for the 2011/12 Municipal Year. This report provides a brief biography of each of the nominees proposed by the organisations.

Members are requested to consider the report and indicate which of the nominees should be recommended for formal appointment by the Portfolio Holder for Environment and Community Safety.

Recommendations: That the Panel indicate which of the nominees, set out at Appendix 2 be recommended as adviser appointments to the Portfolio Holder for Environment and Community Safety in accordance with the Executive Procedure Rule (Part 4D of the Constitution - Rule 37.4).

Reason: (For recommendation)

To appoint advisers to the Panel for the 2011/12 Municipal Year, to assist in the work of the Panel.

Section 2 – Report

Brief History

2.1 A report was presented at the 20 September 2011 Panel meeting proposing that the organisations listed at Appendix 1 be approached requesting nominations for non-voting co-opted advisers to the Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel for the 2011/12 Municipal Year. This was done for the following reasons:

- i) to widen the pool of adviser organisations that are approached annually for nominations;
- ii) to implement a more transparent process for the selection and appointment of advisers, that was and in line with good practice guidelines;
- iii) to consider nominees whose abilities would best suit the needs and direction of the business of the Panel, and whose contributions and specialist knowledge would be an asset to the work of the Panel.

2.2 A synopsis of each organisation was provided at the 20 September meeting of the Panel and is reproduced at Appendix 1.

2.3 Although there is no limit on the number of advisers that the Panel can appoint, officers recommend that up to four representatives be appointed in order to ensure that management of the Panel's work does not become onerous.

Financial Implications

2.4 Not applicable.

Risk Management Implications

2.5 If not appointed, the Panel may not have access to external advice from suitably qualified persons when conducting its business.

Equalities implications

2.6 To promote and enhance local democracy and public service values by increasing opportunities for participation, through effective communication and by developing the capacity to empower Harrow's communities.

Corporate Priorities

2.7 Contributes to United and Involved Communities by allowing representation from the voluntary and community sector on an advisory Panel of the Executive.

Section 3 - Statutory Officer Clearance

Name: Kanta Hirani	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	on behalf of the Chief Financial Officer
Date: 8.11.11		
Name: Paresh Mehta	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	on behalf of the Monitoring Officer
Date: 10.11.11		

Section 4 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact: Manize Talukdar, Acting Democratic Services Officer

Tel: 020 8424 1323

Daksha Ghelani, Senior Democratic Services Officer

Tel: 020 8424 1881

Background Papers: The Council's Constitution, Report to TARSAP meeting held on 23 June 2011 and 20 September 2011, Portfolio Holder Decision (PHD) 002/11 and the Minutes of the TARSAP meeting of 20 September 2011.